

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/777,213

Applicant(s)

BETTS, WILLIAM L.

Examiner

Joseph D. Torres

Art Unit

2133

All Participants:

(1) Joseph D. Torres.

(2) Scott Horstemeyer.

Status of Application: New Case

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 August 2004

Time: 1pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-77

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPZ Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: During a telephone conversation with Scott Horstemeyer on 11 August 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-28 (Note: The Applicant originally elected claims 1-28, but the Examiner has determined that claims 31-38 and 50-57 should be examined with claims 1-28).